1	KING HALL CIVIL RIGHTS CLINIC CARTER C. WHITE SBN: 164149	
2	U.C. Davis School of Law	
3	One Shields Avenue, Bldg. TB-30 Davis, CA 95616-8821	
4	(530) 752-5440 Fax (530) 752-5788	
5	ccwhite@ucdavis.edu	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff	
7	Gregory Lynn Norwood	
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GREGORY LYNN NORWOOD,	) NO. 2:03-cv-2554-GEB-GGH-P
11	Plaintiff,	APPOINTED COUNSEL'S EX PARTE REQUEST FOR AUTHORITY TO
12	vs.	) INCUR COSTS (expedited trial ) transcripts) AND REQUEST FOR
13	STEVE J. VANCE, et al.,	) PAYMENT
14	Defendants.	
15		
16		_)
17		PART I
18	I, Carter C. White, declare:	
19	1. I am an attorney licensed to p	practice law in the State of California and in the United
20	States District Court for the Eastern District	of California, and the supervising attorney of the King
21	Hall Civil Rights Clinic, appointed attorney	of record for plaintiff in this action.
22	2. This request is for a written or	der memorializing court approval of an expenditure that
23	it is my understanding Chief Judge Burrell	already approved during the jury trial in this matter. I
24	understand that the procedure for obtaining a	uthority to incur costs under General Order 230 requires
25	advance approval of expenditures. As exp	lained herein, due to the circumstances in which this
26	request arose, the procedure was not followed here. Nevertheless, because the expenditure was	
27	already approved, and because the expenses were included in the plaintiff's bill of costs and have	
28	not been contested, the Court should approv	ve this request.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

//

- 3. During the course of the trial of this matter, after I was appointed as counsel for plaintiff, I believed that it is was reasonably necessary to the prosecution of this civil rights action to obtain expedited rough transcripts during trial of the testimony of three defendants, Michael Martel, Cheryl Plier and James Walker. The transcripts of Martel and Pliler were necessary because the Plaintiff had made a motion to dismiss these Defendants, and the only way for us properly to advise the client on this matter was to obtain a transcript because we had not been present in court during the testimony. The transcript of Defendant Walker was necessary for several reasons. Mr. Walker had become the Warden at CSP-Sacramento by the time of the trial. We needed a representative sample of the testimony of the other Defendants in the case, in order to guide any 10 additional examination of these witnesses, and it was not feasible to order the testimony of all the 11 witnesses (we were not able to reach the court reporter regarding this request until that afternoon of 12 November 5, 2007, and the trial was to reconvene the next morning). Our investigation at that point 13 had led us to information about the Sophia Curry lawsuit (correctional officer whose assault had led 14 to the fourth lockdown at issue in the Norwood case), and we believed that Warden Walker's earlier 15 testimony regarding the matter needed to be developed for further examination. 16
  - 4. On November 5, 2007, I telephoned Kimberly Bennett, court reporter for Judge Burrell, and requested these transcripts. It is my understanding that Ms. Bennett then obtained authorization from Judge Burrell for payment of her fee for preparing these transcripts from the Court's Non-appropriated Fund. Apparently this approval was never memorialized in a written order filed with the Clerk.
  - 5. Because the preparation of these transcripts were necessarily obtained for use in the case under 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2), Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 54(d)(1) and Local Rule 54-292(f)(3), I included them in plaintiff's bill of costs. (Doc. 156). Apparently after Ms. Bennett saw that I had included her invoice as part of the bill of costs, she did not submit it for payment to the Court. Defendants did not file an objection to the bill of costs within 10 days, and it is anticipated that the Clerk will proceed to tax and enter the costs in the near future. Local Rule 54-292[c] & (d).
    - 6. Processing payment of this invoice through the Court's Non-appropriated fund will

1	allow timely payment to the court reporter. Even after the Clerk's entry of costs, it can take several	
2	weeks for payment to be processed through the Attorney General's office. Counsel for plaintiff will	
3	make sure that this invoice is reimbursed to the Court's Non-appropriated Fund at the time payment	
4	of the bill of costs is made.	
5	7. The cost of these expedited transcripts was \$ 603, as evidenced by the attached	
6	invoice. To date no other cost payments have been requested from the court in this case.	
7	8. I understand and agree that all of the costs requested of, and paid by the court in this	
8	case will be reimbursed out of any recovery or settlement received for the plaintiff (in the case of this	
9	expenditure, out of payment received for the bill of costs).	
10	9. Based upon the foregoing, I request that this court authorize the expenditure of	
11	\$ 603 for the preparation of expedited rough transcripts during trial of the testimony of three	
12	defendants, Michael Martel, Cheryl Plier and James Walker.	
13	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this is	
14	executed this 18 <sup>th</sup> day of December 2007, in Yolo County, California.	
15	/S/ Carter C. White	
15 16		
	Carter C. White  Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff	
16	Carter C. White	
16 17	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff	
16 17 18	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff The above expenditure is	
16 17 18 19	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.	
16 17 18 19 20	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.  Dated: December 18, 2007  AMA Fundamental Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.  Dated: December 18, 2007  AMA Fundamental Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.  Dated: December 18, 2007  AMA Fundamental Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.  Dated: December 18, 2007  AMA Fundamental Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Carter C. White Attorney for Plaintiff  Attorney for Plaintiff  The above expenditure is X Approved.  Disapproved.	